# DESIGNING SIMULATION FRAMEWORK FOR MULTI-HOP ROUTING IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

Dr. L. LAKSHMANAN

Professor Department of Computer Science and Engineering School of Computing Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology Deemed to be University Chennai – 119 Tamil Nadu, India

#### The Research Monograph Series in *Computing, Electrical & Communication Networks*

# Designing Simulation Framework for Multi-Hop Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks

Author: L. Lakshmanan

#### Published by Bohr Publishers, Chennai, India

Old Door No. 4, New Door No. 3, Rishilaya Building, 2nd Street, Golden Avenue, Devi Karumariamman Nagar, Velachery, Chennai – 600 042, India

#### ©2022 Bohr Publishers

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or storage in any information or retrieval system, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

ISBN: 978-93-92892-11-0 (Print) 978-93-92892-21-9 (Online)

Typeset and Cover designed by Chennai Publishing Services Pvt. Ltd., Chennai, India Printed by Ridha Prints Pvt. Ltd., Madurai, India

## ABSTRACT

WSN is an advanced key area of research which helps in identifying many unmanned applications in forest based hazardous areas such military applications and it has wide range of focus in medical applications too. In real world scenario, the expectation levels of sensor nodes have become a high impact in terms of size, cost, efficiency and reliability. In such a case all the sensor nodes are deployed and current resource utilization leads the sensor nodes to sacrifice its performance level this may happened often in sensor medium. In sensor nodes the structural elements are fully based on cost, resource, battery backup, packet transmission etc. The sensor device generally has three main systems namely

- 1) An advent subsystem which sense the environment
- 2) Computational logic which converts the sensed raw into computational data.
- 3) Message exchange protocol.

The major part of research focus on "message exchange protocol" in context of finding optimal/best path within the communication of sensor nodes to the base station.

The most key problems in wireless sensor networks are finding optimal routing algorithms for sending packets from source node (sensor nodes) to destination node (base station/sensor nodes). Basic universal property of WSN focuses on low power consumption during packet transmission and receiving. Several algorithms exists in literature, since some are of in vital role other may not. Here we adopt by merging swarm based algorithm with genetic approach. Initially the nodes are ordered, based on their energy criterion, and then focusing towards node path; this can be done using Proactive route algorithm for finding optimal path between S-D (Source–Destination) nodes. Fast processing and pre traversal can be done using selective flooding approach and results are genetic in nature.

The wireless sensor node should function for a long interval by utilizing the available energy resources and should full fill reliability by means of data transmission, even if any one of the nodes fails. A new hybrid approach in routing protocol by combining PSO routing

#### iv Designing Simulation Framework for Multi-Hop Routing in Wireless Sensors

protocol with clustering algorithm is implemented and checked. Here the approach focuses fully on ACO and BCO on PSO routing protocol and K-Means clustering algorithm for illustrating the clusters of node or grouping the nodes. The proposed approach is tested for its proficiency, performance, energy consumption level and reliability.

In heterogeneous sensor nodes are basically standalone in nature. For that network, creating the communication path is quite a big deal, Here we deeply investigate a routing model for heterogeneous nodes in Wireless sensor networks using Voronoi cell. We estimate the actual traffic among the sensor node, which is defined clearly as the traffic packets, controlled at each server. Network load is monitored using the traffic inbound rules and the estimation is defined in the circular pattern in the form of Voronoi cell. Each functional patterns of the traffic are classified as source and destination in asymptotic rule. Each sensor nodes traffic are redirected to the centralized server acting in the real world, where the sensor data are patched periodically and the data packets travelling from the node to node are updated. Each traffic patterns and sensor nodes are classified and the nodes communication regions are known to the base station by drawing the pattern in Voronoi. The experimental results show the actual working model and our routing model yields 78% accuracy.

A new framework model with less computational utilities, aiming to reduce the software complexity in terms of routing without sacrificing the performance of the network was proposed and implemented. The sensor nodes are connected with base station, in our model the neighbouring node information is considered and maintained, which helps in packet forwarding in frequent intervals when the nodes are in wireless mode. Here, in our model the node holds the neighbour information and relay the next hop information in straight line, hence it is rechecked to next - hop relay. If the next hop is closest to the neighbour node or relay is hold on the neighbour node, then the hop will be considered as the node entity and routing packets are greedy in nature and forwarded to the next hop. CTS and RTS messages are sent to the neighbour node to discover the node and its identity. Our experimental results are denoted in terms of simulation and the simulation topology shows that our framework outperforms the existing protocol in wireless sensor network with 92% accuracy.

Hence to deploy an optimal data packet routing in wireless sensor network was an impact of researchers. here we proposed a new scheme called circular routing called CBR, here the routing scheme is one of the vast advent where the data packets inter arrival was calculated periodically for every hops. For every instance the boundary or contour

#### Abstract

of the network is evaluated which in turn gives an optimality in terms of finding the shortest contour within the circular boundary of network. Experimental results demonstrate the actual working procedure of the routing scheme in WSN. The proposed method was much better in terms of optimal resource utilization and routing based on the hop to hop in multi hop networks.

The hypothesis states that the entire routing algorithm implemented here is to find the optimal path between sensor nodes (S-D) in terms of optimality in routing perspective. To gain the increased level of success rate in routing data packets, we have implemented various algorithms for WSN. Five various routing protocol has been proposed and out of all Voronoi cell – circular pattern based routing outperforms with higher accuracy rate in both the heterogeneous and homogenous WSN. The experimental results show the working routing model yields 78% accuracy in both heterogeneous and homogenous WSN.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| LIST | OF   | <b>FABLE</b> | S  | xi   |
|------|------|--------------|--|------|
| LIST | OF I | FIGUR        | ES   | xiii |
| LIST | OF S | SYMBO        | OLS AND ABBREVATIONS                             | xv   |
| 1.   | INT  | RODU         | CTION  | 1    |
|      | 1.1  | WIRE         | LESS SENSOR NETWORKS                             | 1    |
|      | 1.2  | ROUT         | ING  | 7    |
|      | 1.3  | OBJE         | CTIVE  | 9    |
|      | 1.4  | SCOP         | E OF THE THESIS                                  | 12   |
|      | 1.5  | CONC         | CLUSION  | 14   |
| 2.   | STAT | <b>FE OF</b> | THE ART  | 15   |
|      | 2.1  | SENSO        | OR ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN                          | 15   |
|      | 2.2  | DATA         | -CENTRIC PROTOCOLS                               | 20   |
|      |      | 2.2.1        | Spin   | 20   |
|      |      | 2.2.2        | Flooding   | 23   |
|      |      | 2.2.3        | Directed Diffusion                               | 23   |
|      |      | 2.2.4        | Energy-Aware Routing                             | 25   |
|      |      | 2.2.5        | Rumor Routing                                    | 26   |
|      |      | 2.2.6        | Gradient-Based Routing                           | 27   |
|      |      | 2.2.7        | Constrained Anisotropic Diffusion Routing (CADR) | 27   |
|      |      | 2.2.8        | Information-driven Sensor Querying (IDSQ)        | 27   |
|      |      | 2.2.9        | Cougar Routing                                   | 27   |
|      |      | 2.2.10       | ACtiveQUery Forwarding in Sensor networks        |      |
|      |      |              | (ACQUIRE)  | 28   |
|      | 2.3  | HIER         | ARCHICAL PROTOCOLS                               | 28   |
|      |      | 2.3.1        | Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy         |      |
|      |      |              | (LEACH)  | 28   |
|      |      | 2.3.2        | Power Efficient Gathering in Sensor Information  |      |
|      |      |              | Systems (PEGASIS)                                | 29   |
|      |      | 2.3.3        | Hierarchical Power-Efficient Gathering in Sensor |      |
|      |      |              | Information Systems (Hierarchical PEGASIS)       | 29   |

|     | 2.3.4  | Threshold Sensitive Energy Efficient Sensor Network | τ  |
|-----|--------|---|----|
|     |        | Protocol (TEEN)                                     | 29 |
|     | 2.3.5  | Adaptive Threshold Sensitive Energy Efficient       |    |
|     |        | Sensor Network Protocol (APTEEN)                    | 30 |
|     | 2.3.6  | Energy-Aware Routing for Cluster-Based Sensor       |    |
|     |        | Networks  | 30 |
| 2.4 | SELF-  | ORGANIZING PROTOCOL                                 | 31 |
| 2.5 | LOCA   | ATION-BASED PROTOCOLS                               | 32 |
|     | 2.5.1  | Minimum Energy Communication Network                |    |
|     |        | (MECN)  | 32 |
|     | 2.5.2  | Small Minimum Energy Communication Network          |    |
|     |        | (SMECN)   | 34 |
|     | 2.5.3  | Geographic Adaptive Fidelity (GAF)                  | 34 |
|     | 2.5.4  | Geographic and Energy Aware Routing (GEAR)          | 35 |
| 2.6 | NETV   | WORK FLOW PROTOCOLS                                 | 36 |
|     | 2.6.1  | Maximum Lifetime Energy Routing                     | 36 |
|     | 2.6.2  | Maximum Lifetime Data Gathering                     | 37 |
|     | 2.6.3  | Maximum Lifetime Data Aggregation (MLDA)            | 37 |
|     | 2.6.4  | Minimum Cost Forwarding                             | 37 |
| 2.7 | QoS-1  | AWARE PROTOCOL                                      | 38 |
|     | 2.7.1  | Sequential Assignment Routing (SAR)                 | 38 |
|     | 2.7.2  | Energy-Aware QoS Routing Protocol                   | 38 |
|     | 2.7.3  | Speed   | 39 |
| HYI | BRID S | CHEMES  | 41 |
| 3.1 | INTR   | ODUCTION  | 41 |
| 3.2 | HYBI   | RID SCHEME 1 – OPTIMIZING LOCALIZATION              |    |
|     | ROU    | TE USING PARTICLE SWARM-A GENETIC                   |    |
|     | APPR   | OACH  | 43 |
|     | 3.2.1  | Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)                   | 43 |
|     | 3.2.2  | Genetical Swarm Optimization                        | 44 |
|     | 3.2.3  | Flooding in GA                                      | 44 |
|     | 3.2.4  | Pseudocode for the PSO-GA                           | 45 |
|     | 3.2.5  | Implementation Results                              | 45 |
| 3.3 | HYBI   | RID SCHEME 2 – SWARM-CLUSTER BASED                  |    |
|     | ROU    | TING SCHEME FOR HETEROGENEOUS NODES                 | 45 |
|     | 3.3.1  | Node Identity                                       | 45 |
|     | 3.3.2  | Clustering  | 47 |
|     | 3.3.3  | Modified k-Means for Clustering WSN                 | 47 |
|     | 3.3.4  | ACO with k-Means                                    | 48 |
|     | 3.3.5  | BCO with k-Means                                    | 48 |
|     | 3.3.6  | Combination of Hybrid Model                         | 49 |

3.

|    |            | Table of Contents                               | ix       |
|----|------------|---|----------|
|    |            | 3.3.7 Implementation Results                    | 50       |
|    | 3.4        | PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS                            | 56       |
|    |            | 3.4.1 Hybrid Scheme 1                           | 56       |
|    |            | 3.4.2 Hybrid Scheme 2                           | 58       |
|    | 3.5        | CONCLUSION                                      | 59       |
| 4. | AN         | ALYSIS OF SHORTEST ROUTE FORHETEROGENEOUS       |          |
|    | NO         | DE IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK                   | 60       |
|    | 4.1        | INTRODUCTION                                    | 60       |
|    | 4.2        | PROPOSED METHODOLOGY                            | 60       |
|    |            | 4.2.1 Node Identity                             | 60       |
|    |            | 4.2.2 Bounding Region for Traffic Analyzing     | 61       |
|    | 4.3        | ALGORITHM–VORONOI CELL AND K-MEANS              |          |
|    |            | CLUSTERING                                      | 61       |
|    | 4.4        | ASYMPTOTIC RULE CLASSIFICATION FOR              |          |
|    |            | DEFINING SOURCE AND DESTINATION                 | 61       |
|    | 4.5        | PATCH SERVERS                                   | 62       |
|    | 4.6        | DATA ROUTING                                    | 62       |
|    |            | 4.6.1 Data Routing using ANTS                   | 62       |
|    | 4.7        | EXPERIMENTAL SETUP                              | 63       |
|    | 4.8        | CONCLUSION                                      | 63       |
| 5. | DES        | SIGNING SIMULATION FRAMEWORK FOR MULTI          |          |
|    | HO         | P KOUTING IN WIKELESS SENSOK NET WORK           | ~-       |
|    | 051        | NG PSO ALGORITHM                                | 65       |
|    | 5.1        |   | 65       |
|    | 5.2        |   | 65       |
|    | 5.3        | PROPOSED METHODOLOGY                            | 66       |
|    | 5.4        | NODE DISCOVERI<br>MULTI HOD DOLITING LIGING DEO | 66       |
|    | 5.5        | MULTI-HOP KOUTING USING PSO                     | 66       |
|    | 5.6        | THE ALGORITHM FOR THE STRAIGHT                  | 60       |
|    |            | LINE KOUTING<br>IMDI EMENTATION DECLUTE         | 68       |
|    | 5./        | IMPLEMENTATION RESULTS                          | 68<br>74 |
|    | 5.8<br>5.0 | PERFORMANCE ANALISIS                            | 74       |
|    | 5.9        | CONCLUSION                                      | /5       |
| 6. | CBI        | R-CONTOUR BASED ROUTING IN MULTI HOP            | -        |
|    | WI         | KELESS SENSUK NEI WUKK                          | /6       |
|    | 6.1        |   | /6       |
|    | 6.2        | PKEVIOUS AI IEMPI                               | /6       |
|    | 6.3        | PROPOSED METHODOLOGY                            | 77       |
|    | 6.4        | CUNTUUK BASED DATA ΕΧCΗΑΝGE                     | /8       |

| x | Designing Simulatio | n Framework for | Multi-Hop 1 | Routing in | Wireless Sensors |
|---|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|------------------|
|---|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|------------------|

|            | 6.5 | MULTIPLE BASE                     | 78 |
|------------|-----|-----------------------------------|----|
|            | 6.6 | SIMULATION RESULT AND PERFORMANCE |    |
|            |     | ANALYSIS                          | 78 |
|            | 6.7 | A COMPARISON                      | 80 |
|            | 6.8 | CONCLUSION                        | 81 |
|            |     |                                   |    |
| 7.         | CO  | NCLUSION                          | 82 |
|            | 7.1 | INTRODUCTION                      | 82 |
|            | 7.2 | CONTRIBUTION OF THE WORK DONE     | 83 |
|            | 7.3 | FUTURE RESEARCH                   | 84 |
|            |     |                                   |    |
| REFERENCES |     |                                   | 85 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| 2.1 | List of Routing protocols in WSN                          | 21 |
|-----|---|----|
| 3.1 | The experimental result for Genetic, PSO, and Genetic-PSO | 56 |
| 3.2 | Computational complexity of PSO Algorithm                 | 58 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| 1.1  | Typical Architecture of Sensor Network                         | 5  |
|------|--|----|
| 1.2  | Future scope of the Wireless sensor Network                    | 14 |
| 2.1  | SPIN Protocol  | 22 |
| 2.2  | Flooding along with impulsion and Overlapping problem          | 24 |
| 2.3  | Directed Diffusion routing mechanism                           | 24 |
| 2.4  | Directed Diffusion data delivery mechanism                     | 25 |
| 2.5  | Routing Mechanism in TEEN and APTEEN                           | 31 |
| 2.6  | Relay region in MECN and SMECN                                 | 33 |
| 2.7  | GAF mechanism of various states                                | 35 |
| 2.8  | GEAR Routing Mechanism   | 36 |
| 2.9  | Energy-Aware QoS Routing Protocol -Queuing model in            |    |
|      | sensor node  | 39 |
| 2.10 | Routing in SPEED – SNFG module                                 | 40 |
| 3.1  | Connection Matrix for estimation of error for PSO-GA           |    |
|      | algorithms   | 46 |
| 3.2  | Particle rate and particle position of Each nodes in WSN       | 46 |
| 3.3  | Average analysis of FFit function                              | 46 |
| 3.4  | Implementation Evaluation PSO-GA Algorithm                     | 47 |
| 3.5  | Sensor nodes with Server Conclusion                            | 50 |
| 3.6  | Sensor Node SYN flooding mechanism                             | 50 |
| 3.7  | CTS message from sensor nodes                                  | 51 |
| 3.8  | Optimal Path Establishment with Conformation CTS message       | 51 |
| 3.9  | Crossover occurrence due to flooding of Multiple RTS           | 52 |
| 3.10 | Node Discovery with Path Optimization                          | 52 |
| 3.11 | Path Establishment Between the nodes                           | 53 |
| 3.12 | Node discovery for second level gateways                       | 53 |
| 3.13 | Decentralized node prediction                                  | 54 |
| 3.14 | SYN flooding to discover the localized node (Destination node) | 54 |
| 3.15 | Repeated CTS message from the sensor nodes                     | 55 |
| 3.16 | Optimal path by node discovery                                 | 55 |
| 3.17 | Results of PSO-GA algorithm                                    | 58 |
| 3.18 | The packet transmission within the cluster with repeated       |    |
|      | sequence of CTS  | 59 |
| 4.1  | Threshold based traffic analyzing                              | 61 |
| 4.2  | Simulation Model of the Proposed Routing Protocol              | 64 |

| 5.1   | Working Model of Ant Colony Optimization                | 67 |
|-------|---|----|
| 5.2   | Ant Colony Straight Line Routing                        | 67 |
| 5.3   | Path Discovery by Ant                                   | 68 |
| 5.4   | Range of nodes in active heterogeneous Networks         | 68 |
| 5.5   | Node Activity monitor in generating next hops           | 69 |
| 5.6   | Point parameters for generating the straight line       | 69 |
| 5.7   | Evaluation of the points and ACO routing                | 69 |
| 5.8   | Parameters Straight Line Routing with consistency using |    |
|       | PCO and active routing within the nodes                 | 70 |
| 5.9   | Next hop Relay in Straight Line Routing                 | 74 |
| 6.1-a | Rate of data transmission                               | 79 |
| 6.1-b | Contour level and node identity                         | 79 |
| 6.2   | Implementation of Contour Routing Scheme                | 79 |
| 6.3   | Simulation Model of Contour Based Routing               | 80 |
| 6.4   | Comparisons of the Proposed Routing Schemes             | 81 |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

### **SYMBOLS**

- η1 Self-confidence factor
- $\eta 2$  Swarm-confidence factor
- P<sub>id</sub> Personal best position
- P<sub>id</sub>n Global best position
- $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2$  Independent random
- V<sub>id</sub> Velocity of the particle
- $X_{id}^{ha}$  Position of the particle
- n Total number of particle
- ~ V Function Threshold
- HC Hybridization Coefficient

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

| - | Acknowledgement                               |
|---|---|
| - | Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm             |
| - | Adaptive Threshold Sensitive Energy Efficient |
| - | Address Resolution Protocol                   |
| - | Bee Colony Optimization                       |
| - | MAC Protocol For Wireless Sensor Networks     |
| - | Contour Based Routing                         |
| - | Clear Channel Assessment                      |
| - | Code Division Multiple Access                 |
| - | Central Processing Unit                       |
| - | Clear To Send                                 |
| - | Genetic Algorithm                             |
| - | Geographic Adaptive Fidelity                  |
| - | Geographic and Energy Aware Routing           |
| - | Global Information System                     |
| - | Global Positioning system                     |
| - | General Packet Radio Service                  |
| - | Genetical Swarm Optimization                  |
| - | Information-Driven Sensor Querying            |
|   |   |

| LEACH  | - | Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy          |
|--------|---|---|
| LML    | - | Local Markov Loops                                |
| MAC    | - | Medium Access Control                             |
| MANET  | - | Mobile Ad-Hoc Network                             |
| MECN   | - | Minimum Energy Communication Network              |
| MLDA   | - | Maximum Lifetime Data Aggregation                 |
| MLDR   | - | Maximum Lifetime Data Routing                     |
| MMSN   | - | Multi-Frequency. Media Access Control             |
|        |   | For Wireless Sensor Networks                      |
| MR     | - | Multi-Resolution Searching                        |
| PEGSIS | - | Power-Efficient Gathering in Sensor               |
|        |   | Information Systems                               |
| PMAC   | - | PseudoMAC   |
| PSO    | - | Particle Swarm optimization                       |
| QoS    | - | Quality of Service                                |
| RAM    | - | Random Access Memory                              |
| ROM    | - | Read Only Memory                                  |
| RTS    | - | Request To Send                                   |
| SAR    | - | Sequential Assignment Routing                     |
| SI     | - | Swarm Intelligence                                |
| SMECN  | - | Small Minimum Energy Communication Network        |
| SNGF   | - | Stateless Geographic Non-Deterministic forwarding |
| SNP    | - | Sensor Network Protocol                           |
| SNR    | - | Signal Noise Ratio                                |
| SPIN   | - | Sensor Protocols for Information via Negotiation  |
| SYN    | - | Synchronization                                   |
| TDMA   | - | Time Division Multiple Access                     |
| TEEN   | - | Threshold Sensitive Energy Efficient              |
| WSN    | - | Wireless Sensor Networks                          |

xvi Designing Simulation Framework for Multi-Hop Routing in Wireless Sensors